Campobello-Gramling School

250 Fagan Avenue

Campobello, South Carolina 29322

Grades K-8 Middle School

Enrollment 624 Students

Principal William H. Sapp 864-472-9110

Superintendent Dr. Jimmy Littlefield 864–472–2846

Board Chair C. Hugh Burnett 864–472–2846

The State of South Carolina

Annual School Report Card 2005

ABSOLUTE RATING

GOOD

Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

0 10 34 4 0

IMPROVEMENT RATING

BELOW AVERAGE

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

NO

This school met 14 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

www.myscschools.com www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2002	Excellent	Excellent	N/A
2003	Good	Unsatisfactory	No
2004	Good	Below Average	No
2005	Good	Below Average	No

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS


- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2004-05 whose 2003-04 test scores were located.

90.4%

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)



PACT PERFORMANCE BY GR		7	7		7	7	10	7	7
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Objective
	sh/Langua	~							
All Students	401	99.8	20.1	35.9	39.3	4.7	52.3	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	210	100.0	21.7	39.9	36.5	2.0	48.3		
Female	191	99.5	18.2	31.5	42.5	7.7	56.9		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	351	100.0	18.2	35.5	41.1	5.3	54.8	Yes	Yes
African American	30	100.0	30.8	42.3	26.9	0.0	30.8	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	9	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	10	90.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	349	99.7	15.6	34.7	44.3	5.4	58.4		
Disabled	52	100.0	50.0	44.0	6.0	0.0	12.0	No	Yes
Migrant Status									
Migrant	3	66.7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
Non-Migrant	398	100.0	19.8	36.0	39.4	4.7	52.5		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	9	88.9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	392	100.0	18.8	36.5	39.9	4.8	53.2		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	179	99.4	30.2	40.2	29.0	0.6	36.1	No	Yes
Full-pay meals	217	100.0	12.1	32.6	47.4	7.9	65.1		
	Mathemati	rs – State	Perform	ance Obic	ctive = 36	3.7%			
All Ctudents		55 Olale		,			E4.0	Vee	Vee

M	lathemati	cs - State	Performa	ance Obje	ctive = 36	5.7%			
All Students	401	100.0	19.5	39.1	25.3	16.1	54.2	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	210	100.0	18.2	40.4	24.6	16.7	54.2		
Female	191	100.0	21.0	37.6	26.0	15.5	54.1		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	351	100.0	18.2	38.1	26.1	17.6	57.5	Yes	Yes
African American	30	100.0	34.6	50.0	11.5	3.8	19.2	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	9	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	10	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	349	100.0	14.1	39.5	27.8	18.6	59.6		
Disabled	52	100.0	56.0	36.0	8.0	0.0	18.0	No	Yes
Migrant Status									
Migrant	3	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		
Non-Migrant	398	100.0	19.6	38.9	25.3	16.2	54.3		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	9	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	392	100.0	19.3	38.6	25.7	16.4	55.0		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	179	100.0	24.3	46.2	21.9	7.7	40.2	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	217	100.0	15.8	33.5	27.9	22.8	65.1		

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GR	ROUP						
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	ence % Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced
All Students	401	99.5	31.1	34.5	19.3	15.1	34.5
Gender	401	33.3	31.1	34.3	13.5	10.1	34.3
Male	210	99.5	28.2	33.2	20.3	18.3	38.6
Female	191	99.5	34.3	35.9	18.2	11.6	29.8
Racial/Ethnic Group	191	33.3	04.0	33.3	10.2	11.0	23.0
White	351	100.0	28.7	34.0	20.5	16.7	37.2
African American	30	100.0	50.0	38.5	7.7	3.8	11.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	9	88.9			1.7 1/S	3.0 I/S	11.5 I/S
	1		I/S	I/S			
Hispanic	10	90.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	040	00.4	05.0	00.0	04.0	47.4	00.4
Not Disabled	349	99.4	25.2	36.3	21.3	17.1	38.4
Disabled	52	100.0	70.0	22.0	6.0	2.0	8.0
Migrant Status							
Migrant	3	66.7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Migrant	398	99.8	31.2	34.3	19.4	15.2	34.6
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	9	88.9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	392	99.7	30.2	34.7	19.6	15.4	35.0
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	179	99.4	41.4	36.1	16.0	6.5	22.5
Full-pay meals	217	99.5	22.9	33.2	22.0	22.0	43.9
	404		Studies	07.5	00.0	45.0	00.0
All Students	401	99.0	23.6	37.5	23.9	15.0	38.8
Gender							10.0
Male	210	99.1	19.4	36.8	26.4	17.4	43.8
Female	191	99.0	28.3	38.3	21.1	12.2	33.3
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	351	99.4	22.7	37.8	23.0	16.5	39.5
African American	30	100.0	23.1	38.5	38.5	0.0	38.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	9	88.9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	10	90.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Disability Status							
Not Disabled	349	99.4	19.2	38.1	26.4	16.2	42.6
Disabled	52	96.2	54.2	33.3	6.3	6.3	12.5
Migrant Status							
Migrant	3	66.7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Migrant	398	99.3	23.7	37.4	23.9	15.0	38.9
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	9	88.9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	392	99.2	23.2	37.6	24.0	15.2	39.2
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	179	98.9	33.3	38.1	22.6	6.0	28.6
Full-pay meals	217	99.1	16.0	37.1	24.9	22.1	46.9
. F-7		,					

PACT	PERFORM	ANCE BY GRA	ADE LEVEL					
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced
				English/Lar	nguage Arts 33.3	47.4	7.0	
-	3 4	58 69	98.3 100.0	12.3 16.2	33.3 44.1	47.4 36.8	7.0 2.9	54.4 39.7
42	5	68	98.5	10.2	50.8	33.8	4.6	38.5
e e	6	79	100.0	31.6	29.1	29.1	10.1	39.2
100	7	60	100.0	23.3	48.3	20.0	8.3	28.3
	8	64	98.4	19.7	29.5	39.3	11.5	50.8
	3	68	100.0	21.0	27.4	43.5	8.1	51.6
LG	4	66	100.0	28.1	29.7	40.6	1.6	42.2
	5	65	100.0	12.5	39.1	48.4	0.0	48.4
7	6 7	68 70	100.0 98.6	16.9 19.4	43.1 40.3	32.3 37.3	7.7 3.0	40.0 40.3
-	8	64	100.0	23.0	34.4	34.4	8.2	42.6
-	0	0+	100.0		matics	T.F0	0.2	42.0
	3	58	100.0	29.3	39.7	25.9	5.2	31.0
	4	69	98.6	17.6	42.6	23.5	16.2	39.7
6	5	68	98.5	15.4	55.4	16.9	12.3	29.2
-2L	6	79	100.0	21.5	31.6	26.6	20.3	46.8
	7	60	100.0	18.3	40.0	20.0	21.7	41.7
-	8	64	98.4	21.3	36.1	23.0	19.7	42.6
-	3	68	100.0	16.1	59.7	17.7	6.5	24.2
LC	4 5	66 65	100.0 100.0	32.8 18.8	23.4 40.6	28.1 26.6	15.6 14.1	43.8 40.6
18	6	68	100.0	9.2	33.8	36.9	20.0	56.9
7	7	70	100.0	20.9	34.3	20.9	23.9	44.8
-	8	64	100.0	19.7	42.6	21.3	16.4	37.7
				Scie	ence			
	3							
4	4							
LèL	5							
7	6 7							
	8							
-	3	68	100.0	22.6	40.3	33.9	3.2	37.1
	4	66	100.0	39.1	26.6	17.2	17.2	34.4
8	5	65	98.5	30.2	34.9	12.7	22.2	34.9
0	6	68	100.0	30.8	30.8	16.9	21.5	38.5
	7	70	98.6	34.3	32.8	17.9	14.9	32.8
	8	64	100.0	29.5	41.0	18.0	11.5	29.5
				Social	Studies			
-	3 4							
4	5							
6	6							
64	7							
	8							
	3	68	100.0	11.3	40.3	22.6	25.8	48.4
10	4	66	100.0	23.4	39.1	23.4	14.1	37.5
0	5	65	98.5	19.0	30.2	33.3	17.5	50.8
2	6	68	98.5	12.5	35.9	29.7	21.9	51.6
	7	70	98.6	44.8	34.3	14.9	6.0	20.9
	8	64	98.4	30.0	46.7	18.3	5.0	23.3

-		_		
€ ∩⊔	001	ВΒ	ΛEI	_

Change from Last Year Schools with Students Like Ours	SCHOOL PROFILE				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)				with Students	Middle
courses (grades 7 & 8) Retention rate 0.9% Down from 1.4% 2.9% 3.0% Attendance rate 95.9% Up from 95.8% 95.9% 95.8% Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level Down from 11.7% 4.2% 4.6% Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level 27.6% Down from 28.1% 19.2% 15.3% Con academic plans N/AV N/AV N/AV N/A N/AV On academic probation N/AV N/AV N/A N/AV Wild disabilities other than speech 12.5% Up from 12.4% 14.0% 13.6% Older than usual for grade 0.2% Down from 0.8% 3.5% 4.6% Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses 0.0% No change 0.7% 0.8% Annual dropout rate 0.7% Up from 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Teachers (field &/or criminal offenses 62.5% Down from 64.4% 53.2% 51.8% Continuing contract teachers 83.3% Down from 91.1%	Students (n= 624)				
Attendance rate		23.7%	Up from 17.1%	17.1%	15.5%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (TELA) off grade level Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level Students with disabilities other than speech 27.6% Down from 28.1% 19.2% 15.3% On academic plans N/AV N/AV N/AV N/A N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV	Retention rate	0.9%	Down from 1.4%	2.9%	3.0%
Speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level Eligible for gifted and talented 27.6% Down from 28.1% 19.2% 15.3% On academic plans N/AV N/AV N/AV N/A N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV	Attendance rate	95.9%	Up from 95.8%	95.9%	95.8%
speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level Level Eligible for gifted and talented 27.6% Down from 28.1% 19.2% 15.3% On academic plans N/AV			Down from 12.5%	4.6%	4.7%
On academic plans	speech taking PACT (Math) off grade	3.2%	Down from 11.7%	4.2%	4.6%
On academic probation N/AV N/AV N/A N/AV With disabilities other than speech 12.5% Up from 12.4% 14.0% 13.6% Older than usual for grade 0.2% Down from 0.8% 3.5% 4.6% Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions or involent &/or criminal offenses 0.0% No change 0.7% 0.8% For violent &/or criminal offenses 0.0% Up from 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Iteachers (n=48) 0.0% Up from 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Teachers with advanced degrees 62.5% Down from 64.4% 53.2% 51.8% Continuing contract teachers 83.3% Down from 91.1% 80.8% 78.1% Highly qualified teachers 89.1% Up from 87.9% 91.0% 89.6% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 0.0% No change 4.3% 6.0% Teachers returning from previous year 93.4% Up from 91.4% 88.1% 85.4% Teachers returning from previous year 93.4% Up from 91.4% 88.1% 85.4% <t< td=""><td>Eligible for gifted and talented</td><td>27.6%</td><td>Down from 28.1%</td><td>19.2%</td><td>15.3%</td></t<>	Eligible for gifted and talented	27.6%	Down from 28.1%	19.2%	15.3%
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade 12.5% Down from 12.4% 14.0% 13.6% Older than usual for grade 0.2% Down from 0.8% 3.5% 4.6% Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses 0.0% No change 0.7% 0.8% Annual dropout rate 0.7% Up from 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Teachers (n= 48) 0.0% Down from 64.4% 53.2% 51.8% Continuing contract teachers 83.3% Down from 91.1% 80.8% 78.1% Highly qualified teachers 89.1% Up from 87.9% 91.0% 89.6% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 0.0% No change 4.3% 6.0% Teachers returning from previous year 2.2% Average teacher seturning from previous year 33.4% Up from 91.4% 88.1% 85.4% 85.4% 85.4% Teachers returning from previous year 34.4,423 Up 2.3% \$41,694 \$41,328 \$41,694 \$41,328 Prof. development days/teacher 6.0 days Down from 95.6% 95.3% 94.9% School Vp 2.3% \$41,694 \$41,328 Principal's years at school 20.0 Up from 19.0 3.8 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 15.3 to 1 Down from 7.8 to 1 22.3 to 1 21.3 to 1 Prime instructional time 90.4% Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% 80.02 89.3% 80.02 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* Opportunit	On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
Older than usual for grade 0.2% Down from 0.8% 3.5% 4.6% Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses Annual dropout rate 0.7% Up from 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Ivacciners (n= 48) Teachers with advanced degrees 62.5% Down from 64.4% 53.2% 51.8% Continuing contract teachers 83.3% Down from 91.1% 80.8% 78.1% Highly qualified teachers 89.1% Up from 87.9% 91.0% 89.6% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates Teachers returning from previous year 93.4% Up from 91.4% 88.1% 85.4% Teacher attendance rate 95.2% Down from 95.6% 95.3% 94.9% Average teacher salary \$44,423 Up 2.3% \$41,694 \$41,328 Prof. development days/teacher 6.0 days Down from 17.8 to 1 22.3 to 1 21.3 to 1 Prime instructional time 90.4% Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% School Principal's years at school 20.0 Up from 19.0 3.8 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 15.3 to 1 Down from 17.8 to 1 22.3 to 1 21.3 to 1 Prime instructional time 90.4% Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,028 Down 7.0% \$5,883 \$6,022 Percent of expenditures for teacher 67.9% Down from 68.6% 62.4% 61.7% salaries* Opportunities in the arts Good Down from Excellent Good Good Parents attending conferences 96.0% Up from 90.7% 95.4% 96.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good Forior year audited financial data are reported. **Our District** **Our District** **Our District** **District** **Our District** **District** **State Objective** **Met State Objective**	On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV	N/A	N/AV
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses 0.0% No change 0.7% 0.8% Annual dropout rate 0.7% Up from 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Teachers (n= 48) Teachers with advanced degrees 62.5% Down from 64.4% 53.2% 51.8% Continuing contract teachers 83.3% Down from 91.1% 80.8% 78.1% Highly qualified teachers 89.1% Up from 87.9% 91.0% 89.6% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 0.0% No change 4.3% 6.0% Teachers returning from previous year 93.4% Up from 91.4% 88.1% 85.4% Teachers returning from previous year 93.4% Up from 91.4% 88.1% 85.4% Teachers attendance rate 95.2% Down from 95.6% 95.3% 94.9% Average teacher salary \$44,423 Up 2.3% \$41,694 \$41,328 Prof. development days/teacher 6.0 days Down from 7.0 days 11.7 days 11.5 days School 20.0 Up from 19.0	With disabilities other than speech	12.5%	Up from 12.4%	14.0%	13.6%
for violent &/or criminal offenses Annual dropout rate	Older than usual for grade	0.2%	Down from 0.8%	3.5%	4.6%
Teachers (n= 48) Teachers with advanced degrees 62.5% Down from 64.4% 53.2% 51.8% Continuing contract teachers 83.3% Down from 91.1% 80.8% 78.1% Highly qualified teachers 89.1% Up from 87.9% 91.0% 89.6% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 0.0% No change 4.3% 6.0% Teachers returning from previous year 93.4% Up from 91.4% 88.1% 85.4% Teachers returning from previous year 93.4% Up from 91.4% 88.1% 85.4% Teacher attendance rate 95.2% Down from 95.6% 95.3% 94.9% Average teacher salary \$44,423 Up 2.3% \$41,694 \$41,328 Prof. development days/teacher 6.0 days Down from 7.0 days 11.7 days 11.5 days School Principal's years at school 20.0 Up from 19.0 3.8 3.0 Student–teacher ratio in core subjects 15.3 to 1 Down from 17.8 to 1 22.3 to 1 21.3 to 1 Prime instructional time 90.4% <td< td=""><td></td><td>0.0%</td><td>No change</td><td>0.7%</td><td>0.8%</td></td<>		0.0%	No change	0.7%	0.8%
Teachers with advanced degrees 62.5% Down from 64.4% 53.2% 51.8% Continuing contract teachers 83.3% Down from 91.1% 80.8% 78.1% Highly qualified teachers 89.1% Up from 87.9% 91.0% 89.6% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 0.0% No change 4.3% 6.0% Teachers returning from previous year 93.4% Up from 91.4% 88.1% 85.4% Teachers attendance rate 95.2% Down from 95.6% 95.3% 94.9% Average teacher salary \$44,423 Up 2.3% \$41,694 \$41,328 Prof. development days/teacher 6.0 days Down from 7.0 days 11.7 days 11.5 days School 20.0 Up from 19.0 3.8 3.0 Student-leacher ratio in core subjects 15.3 to 1 Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% Prime instructional time 90.4% Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,028 Down from Excellent Good 62.4% 61.7%	Annual dropout rate	0.7%	Up from 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Continuing contract teachers 83.3% Down from 91.1% 80.8% 78.1% Highly qualified teachers 89.1% Up from 87.9% 91.0% 89.6% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates Teachers returning from previous year 93.4% Up from 91.4% 88.1% 85.4% Teacher attendance rate 95.2% Down from 95.6% 95.3% 94.9% Average teacher salary \$44,423 Up 2.3% \$41,694 \$41,328 Prof. development days/teacher 6.0 days Down from 7.0 days 11.7 days 11.5 days School Principal's years at school 20.0 Up from 19.0 3.8 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 15.3 to 1 Down from 17.8 to 1 22.3 to 1 21.3 to 1 Prime instructional time 90.4% Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,028 Down 7.0% \$5,883 \$6,022 Percent of expenditures for teacher 67.9% Down from 68.6% 62.4% 61.7% salaries* Opportunities in the arts Good Down from Excellent Good Good Parents attending conferences 96.0% Up from 90.7% 95.4% 96.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. **Our District** **Our District** **Our District** **State** Highly qualified teachers in ligh poverty schools** **No Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools** **Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools** **Highly qualified teachers in high school 65.0% Yes**	Teachers (n= 48)				
Continuing contract teachers 83.3% Down from 91.1% 80.8% 78.1% Highly qualified teachers 89.1% Up from 87.9% 91.0% 89.6% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates Teachers returning from previous year 93.4% Up from 91.4% 88.1% 85.4% Teacher attendance rate 95.2% Down from 95.6% 95.3% 94.9% Average teacher salary \$44,423 Up 2.3% \$41,694 \$41,328 Prof. development days/teacher 6.0 days Down from 7.0 days 11.7 days 11.5 days School Principal's years at school 20.0 Up from 19.0 3.8 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 15.3 to 1 Down from 17.8 to 1 22.3 to 1 21.3 to 1 Prime instructional time 90.4% Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,028 Down 7.0% \$5,883 \$6,022 Percent of expenditures for teacher 67.9% Down from 68.6% 62.4% 61.7% salaries* Opportunities in the arts Good Down from Excellent Good Good Parents attending conferences 96.0% Up from 90.7% 95.4% 96.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. **Our District** **Our District** **Our District** **State** Highly qualified teachers in ligh poverty schools** **No Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools** **Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools** **Highly qualified teachers in high school 65.0% Yes**	Teachers with advanced degrees	62.5%	Down from 64.4%	53.2%	51.8%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates Teachers returning from previous year 93.4% Up from 91.4% 88.1% 85.4% Teacher attendance rate 95.2% Down from 95.6% 95.3% 94.9% Average teacher salary \$44,423 Up 2.3% \$41,694 \$41,328 Prof. development days/teacher 6.0 days Down from 7.0 days 11.7 days 11.5 days School Principal's years at school 20.0 Up from 19.0 3.8 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 15.3 to 1 Down from 17.8 to 1 22.3 to 1 21.3 to 1 Prime instructional time 90.4% Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,028 Down 7.0% \$5,883 \$6,022 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts Good Down from Excellent Good Good Parents attending conferences 96.0% Up from 90.7% 95.4% 96.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good Food Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 90.1% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools N/A 90.1% Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes		83.3%	Down from 91.1%	80.8%	78.1%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates Teachers returning from previous year 93.4% Up from 91.4% 88.1% 85.4% Teacher attendance rate 95.2% Down from 95.6% 95.3% 94.9% Average teacher salary \$44,423 Up 2.3% \$41,694 \$41,328 Prof. development days/teacher 6.0 days Down from 7.0 days 11.7 days 11.5 days School Principal's years at school 20.0 Up from 19.0 3.8 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 15.3 to 1 Down from 17.8 to 1 22.3 to 1 21.3 to 1 Prime instructional time 90.4% Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,028 Down 7.0% \$5,883 \$6,022 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts Good Down from Excellent Good Good Parents attending conferences 96.0% Up from 90.7% 95.4% 96.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good Food Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 90.1% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools N/A 90.1% Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Highly qualified teachers	89.1%	Up from 87.9%	91.0%	89.6%
Teacher attendance rate 95.2% Down from 95.6% 95.3% 94.9% Average teacher salary \$44,423 Up 2.3% \$41,694 \$41,328 Prof. development days/teacher 6.0 days Down from 7.0 days 11.7 days 11.5 days School Principal's years at school 20.0 Up from 19.0 3.8 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 15.3 to 1 Down from 17.8 to 1 22.3 to 1 21.3 to 1 Prime instructional time 90.4% Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,028 Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,028 Down from 68.6% 62.4% 61.7% Salaries* Opportunities in the arts Good Down from Excellent Good Good Parents attending conferences 96.0% Up from 90.7% 95.4% 96.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excel		0.0%	No change	4.3%	6.0%
Average teacher salary \$44,423 Up 2.3% \$41,694 \$41,328 Prof. development days/teacher 6.0 days Down from 7.0 days 11.7 days 11.5 days School Principal's years at school 20.0 Up from 19.0 3.8 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 15.3 to 1 Down from 17.8 to 1 22.3 to 1 21.3 to 1 Prime instructional time 90.4% Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,028 Down 7.0% \$5,883 \$6,022 Percent of expenditures for teacher 67.9% Down from 68.6% 62.4% 61.7% salaries* Opportunities in the arts Good Down from Excellent Good Good Parents attending conferences 96.0% Up from 90.7% 95.4% 96.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good Food Forior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 90.1% State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Teachers returning from previous year	93.4%	Up from 91.4%	88.1%	85.4%
Prof. development days/teacher 6.0 days Down from 7.0 days 11.7 days 11.5 days School Principal's years at school 20.0 Up from 19.0 3.8 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 15.3 to 1 Down from 17.8 to 1 22.3 to 1 21.3 to 1 Prime instructional time 90.4% Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% Down 7.0% \$5,883 \$6,022 Percent of expenditures for teacher 67.9% Down from 68.6% 62.4% 61.7% salaries* Opportunities in the arts Good Down from Excellent Good Good Parents attending conferences 96.0% Up from 90.7% 95.4% 96.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 90.1% State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Teacher attendance rate	95.2%	Down from 95.6%	95.3%	94.9%
School Principal's years at school 20.0 Up from 19.0 3.8 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 15.3 to 1 Down from 17.8 to 1 22.3 to 1 21.3 to 1 Prime instructional time 90.4% Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,028 Down 7.0% \$5,883 \$6,022 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 67.9% Down from 68.6% 62.4% 61.7% Opportunities in the arts Good Down from Excellent Good Good Parents attending conferences 96.0% Up from 90.7% 95.4% 96.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. **Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 85.7% 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools N/A 90.1% State Objective Met State Objective	Average teacher salary	\$44,423	Up 2.3%	\$41,694	\$41,328
Principal's years at school 20.0 Up from 19.0 3.8 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 15.3 to 1 Down from 17.8 to 1 22.3 to 1 21.3 to 1 Prime instructional time 90.4% Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,028 Down 7.0% \$5,883 \$6,022 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 67.9% Down from 68.6% 62.4% 61.7% Opportunities in the arts Good Down from Excellent Good Good Parents attending conferences 96.0% Up from 90.7% 95.4% 96.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good * Prior year audited financial data are reported. **Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 85.7% 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools N/A 90.1% **Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% **Met State Objective <td>Prof. development days/teacher</td> <td>6.0 days</td> <td>Down from 7.0 days</td> <td>11.7 days</td> <td>11.5 days</td>	Prof. development days/teacher	6.0 days	Down from 7.0 days	11.7 days	11.5 days
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 15.3 to 1 Down from 17.8 to 1 22.3 to 1 21.3 to 1 Prime instructional time 90.4% Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,028 Down 7.0% \$5,883 \$6,022 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 67.9% Down from 68.6% 62.4% 61.7% Opportunities in the arts Good Down from Excellent Good Good Parents attending conferences 96.0% Up from 90.7% 95.4% 96.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good * Prior year audited financial data are reported. **Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 85.7% 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools N/A 90.1% State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	School				
Prime instructional time 90.4% Down from 90.6% 89.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,028 Down 7.0% \$5,883 \$6,022 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 67.9% Down from 68.6% 62.4% 61.7% Opportunities in the arts Good Down from Excellent Good Good Parents attending conferences 96.0% Up from 90.7% 95.4% 96.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. ** Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 85.7% 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools N/A 90.1% State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Principal's years at school	20.0	Up from 19.0	3.8	3.0
Dollars spent per pupil* \$6,028 Down 7.0% \$5,883 \$6,022 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts Good Down from Excellent Good Good Parents attending conferences 96.0% Up from 90.7% 95.4% 96.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. **Our District** **Our District** **Our District** **State** Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 90.1% **Bighly qualified teachers in high poverty schools N/A 90.1% **State Objective** **Highly qualified teachers in this school** **Met State Objective** **Highly qualified teachers in this school** **Highly qualified teachers in this school** **Torn Yes** **No change Good Good Good Good State** **Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools N/A 90.1% **State Objective** **Highly qualified teachers in this school** **Torn Yes** **No change Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Goo	Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	15.3 to 1	Down from 17.8 to 1	22.3 to 1	21.3 to 1
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts Good Down from Excellent Good Good Parents attending conferences 96.0% Up from 90.7% 95.4% 96.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. **Prior year audited financial data are reported.** **Our District State* Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 85.7% 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools N/A 90.1% State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Prime instructional time	90.4%	Down from 90.6%	89.8%	89.3%
salaries* Opportunities in the arts Opportun	Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,028	Down 7.0%	\$5,883	\$6,022
Parents attending conferences 96.0% Up from 90.7% 95.4% 96.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent No change Good Good * Prior year audited financial data are reported. Dur District State		67.9%	Down from 68.6%	62.4%	61.7%
SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent * Prior year audited financial data are reported. * Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 85.7% 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools N/A 90.1% State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Opportunities in the arts	Good	Down from Excellent	Good	Good
Character development program Excellent No change Good Good * Prior year audited financial data are reported. * Dur District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 85.7% 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools N/A 90.1% State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes			Up from 90.7%		
*Prior year audited financial data are reported. *Dur District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools N/A State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	SACS accreditation		No change	Yes	Yes
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools N/A State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes		Excellent	Ü		
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools N/A State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes					
State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Highly qualified teachers in low poverty sch	ools	85.7%		89.4%
Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Highly qualified teachers in high poverty scl	hools			
3 , ,			State Objectiv	e Met Sta	ate Objective
Student attendance in this school 95.3% Yes	Highly qualified teachers in this school		65.0%		Yes
	Student attendance in this school		95.3%		Yes

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Campobello-Gramling School is a student-centered school, K through 8th grade, that offers many strong programs to enhance learning. The Reading Recovery Program, the Pat Cunningham Model for English Language Arts, the Science Coach Initiative, and Algebra I for high school credit are a few of the exemplary programs offered. This school offers self-contained classes for LD and EMD students in grades 1st - 8th. We have received Palmetto Gold and Silver Awards, the State's Exemplary Career Guidance Award, the Red Carpet Award and the Exemplary Writing Award.

The school enjoys strong support from the School Improvement Council, the PTO, and the community. The school has a local business partner that provides volunteers, shadowing experiences, tutors, and financial support for the school newspaper. Many community groups use the facilities.

PACT scores are very good but the principle challenge for Campobello-Gramling School is to continue to improve student learning to create lifelong learners. The strategies to meet this challenge include continuous evaluation of the programs, implementation of new programs, and vertical teaming to ensure continuity in all programs. The faculty and staff are fully qualified to meet this goal.

School safety remains a priority. To this end, a security plan is in place and a school resource officer is on duty daily. Security cameras cover all areas of the building. Exterior doors are locked during the school day and visitors must obtain clearance from the office before entering the school.

We are proud to be preparing students for life in the 21st century.

William H. Sapp, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	47	51	55				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	86.3%	92.7%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	84.3%	87.3%				
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	95.7%	94.1%	84.9%				
*Only students at the highest middle school grade level at this school and their parents v	vere included.						